

PERFORMANCE	TOENIDE	VEAD	

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Good	N/A
2002	Good	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

41.3 16.2 47.6 17.7 17.7 26.0 21.4 40.0 16.9 45.5 18.4 22.7 22.7 22.7 22.7 22.7 22.7 22.7 23.7 24.7 25.7 26.3

Mathematics

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Advanced

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	25	137	56
Percent satisfied with learning environment	95.8%	71.9%	92.6%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.0%	76.5%	73.6%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	96.0%	76.9%	89.1%

PACT	Performani	CE BY GI	SULIE

PACT PERFORMANC	E BY GR							/>
	/	PER TESTING	lested old	allow Basic	/.sc /	Proficient of	Advanced ole Profi	cient and street
	TOIL	We die	restu /	JOH TOOL	Basic of	Skoji (Adva orofi	Charles
	100	387	0/0	<u>/`</u>	/	/	0/01	<u>`</u> / ઙ૽
All students	487	99.6	21.4	nglish/Lar 47.6	iguage A 26.0	rts 5.0	31.0	17.6
Gender	407	99.0	21.4	47.0	20.0	3.0	31.0	17.0
Male	254	99.2	30.4	46.3	20.4	2.9	23.3	17.6
Female	233	100.0	11.7	49.1	32.0	7.2	39.2	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	200	10010			02.0		00.2	
White	423	99.5	19.0	47.8	28.3	5.0	33.3	17.6
African-American	46	100.0	37.2	51.2	9.3	2.3	11.6	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	13	100.0	50.0	41.7	8.3	N/A	8.3	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	14/7 (
Not disabled	427	99.5	15.1	49.9	29.4	5.7	35.1	17.6
Disabled	60	100.0	66.7	31.6	1.8	N/A	1.8	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	487	99.6	21.3	47.7	26.0	5.0	31.0	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	482	99.6	21.0	47.7	26.3	5.0	31.3	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	99	98.0	39.5	46.5	12.8	1.2	14.0	17.6
Full-pay meals	388	100.0	17.3	47.9	29.0	5.9	34.8	17.6
					matics			
All students	487	100.0	16.2	41.3	24.7	17.7	42.4	15.5
Gender		400.0	4= =	000	010	46.0	44.0	4==
Male	254	100.0	17.5	38.3	24.6	19.6	44.2	15.5
Female	233	100.0	14.9	44.6	24.8	15.8	40.5	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group White	423	100.0	13.5	42.0	25.8	18.8	44.5	15.5
African-American		100.0	37.2	42.0	11.6		14.0	15.5
Airican-American Asian/Pacific Islander	46			I	I	2.3	1	1
Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	13	100.0	33.3	16.7	41.7	8.3	50.0	15.5
Disability Status	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Not disabled	427	100.0	11.9	41.0	27.4	19.8	47.2	15.5
Disabled	60	100.0	47.4	43.9	5.3	3.5	8.8	15.5
Migrant Status	00	100.0	41.4	43.9	5.3	3.5	0.0	15.5
migranii Status								

0.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

N/A

487

482

388

5

Migrant

Non-migrant

Full-pay meals

English Proficiency
Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals N/A

16.1

N/A

16.0

31.4

12.8

N/A

41.4

N/A

41.4

51.2

39.1

N/A

24.7

N/A

24.7

14.0

27.1

N/A

17.8

N/A

17.9

3.5

21.0

N/A

42.5

N/A

42.7

17.4

48.1

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

triding of testics olo Profese Harden de de la companya olo Balom Basic o/o Advanced olo Proficient olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 33.8 16.6 49.7 157 N/A 16.6 33.1 Grade 7 134 45.5 N/A 20.1 30.6 3.7 34.3 Grade 8 134 N/A 17.2 46.3 32.1 4.5 36.6 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 155 99.4 23.3 39.7 28.8 8.2 37.0 Grade 7 174 99.4 16.6 54.0 27.0 2.5 29.4 Grade 8 158 100.0 48.4 22.2 4.6 26.8

24.8

	No.									
	Mathematics									
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	Grade 6	157	N/A	21.0	42.0	28.0	8.9	36.9		
	Grade 7	134	N/A	22.4	34.3	21.6	21.6	43.3		
•	Grade 8	134	N/A	17.9	35.8	32.1	14.2	46.3		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
20	Grade 6	155	100.0	13.0	37.0	28.8	21.2	50.0		
	Grade 7	174	100.0	16.0	41.7	23.9	18.4	42.3		
	Grade 8	158	100.0	19.6	45.1	21.6	13.7	35.3		

SCHOOL PROFILE

	our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 490)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	13.6%	Down from 14.0%	31.8%	14.4%
Retention rate	2.2%	Down from 2.8%	1.8%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	95.4%	Down from 96.0%	96.5%	95.2%
	19.1%	Down from 20.0%	26.9%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	11.6%	Down from 11.9%	11.2%	14.1%
	2.9%	No change	2.3%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	2.4%	Up from 0.9%	0.8%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 26)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	61.5%	Up from 59.3%	53.4%	47.1%
	61.5%	Down from 96.3%	84.6%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	89.4%	Down from 89.8%	88.8%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.2%	Up from 95.9%	96.3%	95.0%
	\$42,931	Up 1.1%	\$41,579	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	9.0 days	Up from 7.4 days	10.1 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	12.0	Up from 11.0	7.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	26.6 to 1	Up from 23.6 to 1	24.5 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	91.3%	No change	92.1%	88.9%
	\$6,980	Up 38.3%	\$5,345	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	48.8%	Down from 60.1%	63.1%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	97.5%	Down from 100.0%	99.0%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

		•		-
Ahhra	WISTIAN	c tor	Missina	I lata

					•			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

We have had a very exciting year at Powdersville Middle School. Our students have done well academically, artistically, and athletically. They have also participated in several service learning activities for local and national causes. Some of our year's accomplishments are listed below.

*Our 2002 PACT scores earned us an Absolute Rating of Good on last year's Annual School Report Card;

*Mrs. Carol Adams, our choral director, was voted Teacher of the Year;

*Mrs. Mary Howell, sixth grade social studies teacher, earned her National Board Certification, one of 21 teachers in the district receiving this honor;

*Our students won four of the six awards in the District Writing Contest;

*Four students received a Gold or Silver Award in the Anderson/Oconee/Pickens Regional Science Fair:

*Band awards included an Excellent rating at the SC State Concert Festival, 39 students earning Superior or Excellent ratings at the SC State Solo and Ensemble Festival, and the 2002-2003 Outstanding Performance Award, the highest honor given to bands in the state;

*Twenty-six eighth grade students earned the distinction of being named Junior Scholars:

*Fifty additional students were inducted into the National Junior Beta Club;

*Five students earned awards in local and state art competitions;

*Thirty-one sixth grade and 18 seventh grade students had their writings included in A Celebration of Young Poets, an anthology for the Carolinas published by Creative Communications;

*Approximately 400 students participated in Shadowing Day;

*Service projects included the Memory Walk for the Alzheimer's Association, Lee Denim Day for the Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation, Make-A-Difference Day, Meals on Wheels, Toys for Tots, America Recycles Day, and raising \$10,400 for a local family in need of medical assistance;

*Our school again served as a 21st Century Community Learning Center; and

*Our boys' basketball team was runner-up for the conference regular season and for the year-end tournament.

Finally, we are truly fortunate to have such a quality faculty, staff, and supportive community. Our School Improvement Council, PTSO, and entire school family remain second to none. Through continuing to work together, we are indeed "Marching Toward a Better Future."

Monty Oxendine, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.